UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/525,232 | 02/22/2005 | Werner Gauweiler | 266122US0PCT | 7199 |
| | 7590 06/03/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1 | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DÚKE STRÉET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/03/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/525,232 | GAUWEILER ET AL. | | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | JAMES W. ROGERS | 1618 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | v); | | |
| (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a ${f c}$ | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6 and 8-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4 and 5. | • | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attache | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618 | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendments to the claims would require a new search and/or consideration because the monomer from which the polymer is prepared is now limited to only N-vinylimidazole when previously serveral different types of monomer(s) could be selected.